

**AREA WORLD SERVICE COMMITTEE (AWSC) MEETING**  
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA WORLD SERVICE (SCWS)  
SATURDAY, FEBRUARY 15, 2020  
FIRST UNITED METHODIST CHURCH

Final Minutes

9:00 meeting started

- A) Chairperson's Report, Donna E.:
- Serenity Prayer, Chair recognized new DRs
  - Reviewed Conference rules
  - Guidelines for Participation and Who has a Voice and a Vote
- B) Traditions read by Nancy, D12
- C) Roll call, Mary M., Group Records Coordinator:
- D) Secretary, Lalena C.:

Motion to accept Minutes of August 17, 2019 Committee Meeting. Carol, Archives Coordinator, suggested change "AA" to "dual member" in the Delegate's Report. She also noted that the Group Records Report in the *Bulletin* reversed the "Open" and "Closed" Meetings definitions and they need to be corrected. Motion to accept Minutes as amended made by Elaine, D75 and seconded by Peggy R., D6. Vote results: 32 yes, 0 no, 1 abstention. Motion passed.

- E) Treasurer's Report, Tarisa R.:

Tarisa reviewed the Contribution Form: emphasized that we need WSO ID# of each group to give them credit for their contribution. Some AISs have their own numbering system, unsure as to why. Please ask your local AIS office to use the WSO ID number as the only identifier of each group. If needed information is missing, she tries to contact the CMA first, GR second, DR third.

Tarisa presented the Audit Report. Our finances are in good order. Everything has reconciled. She has just gotten some help inputting data so she hopes to be able to get monthly reports out in a timely fashion.

Reviewed Balance Sheet: \$87,606.51 is how much is in the bank. Items paid in advance: \$7,818.06. Assets have increased by 14.60%

Kim, D24: Are those bills that are prepaid expenses?

Tarisa: Storage and rent are paid once a year. Those expenses are marked as prepaid.

Statement of Activity (Profit and Loss by month)

Year to Date: We have a surplus from 2019 because we brought in more than we spent: \$12,641.09. Our Budget vs. Actual: We brought in more than we predicted we would spend.

Kim, D24: Is that above our prudent reserve?

Tarisa: Yes, ample reserve is in CDs. **Recommended that we donate \$12,641.09 to WSO. She will bring this up at the May Assembly for the GRs to vote on.**

August Committee meeting: 7<sup>th</sup> Tradition income was \$528, and the expenses were \$3,630.02.

Tarisa's Report will go into the *Bulletin*.

She reviewed the reimbursement form. Districts reimburse for the first 100 miles; the Area pays for mileage over 100 miles. If you drive over 200 miles and stay in a hotel, SCWS will pay \$100 toward the hotel room.

Willie: Referred to Year to Date expenses: Why did the expense for meals go down so much from 2018 to 2019? Willie said it would be good to know so we can continue doing it.

Tarisa: We saved money; she will have to pull the report. A lot of times it is meals. It's possible that at the Assemblies, more Districts stepped up to bring snacks.

Josefa, D64: In May, Tarisa asked us to contribute more at the Assembly so that it could be self-supporting. If we have money to contribute to WSO, why are we asking for \$20?

Tarisa: She did not state that groups have to give \$20. She just wanted to educate our members about the actual cost of Assemblies and Committee meetings, in order to be self-supporting.

**Vote:** Approve Audit Report-- Motion made by Darcy, D63, and seconded by Kathy, Public Outreach Coordinator.

**Motion 1:** Yes: 93%, No: 7%, Motion carried.

**Motion 2:** Approve Treasurer's Report: 95% yes, 5% no, Motion carried.

**Motion 3:** Approve recommendation to contribute \$12,641.09 to WSO (to be brought to the Assembly): Yes: 85% (35), No: 0, Abstentions: 7% (3).

**Questions:**

Jasmin, YHA Chair: Is there an alternative?

Donna: As is true for groups, we have an ample reserve and we create a budget each year. If we have a surplus beyond our budget, we pass it on to our next service arm. The only service arm "beyond" or "above" an Area is WSO.

**Comments:**

Bruce, *Bulletin* Coordinator: Alternative is that we could spend the money on our Area. He believes that WSO has undue authority and that we shouldn't contribute anything to WSO.

Pyre, LA County AIS Liaison: Would love to receive donations from the Area.

Josefa, D64: Money could be spent on translation for District 64. Could D64 be added to the budget? They also need a projector for the upcoming AMIAS training. Could the Area get a projector?

Donna: We can increase our budget for this upcoming year if there is a need to do that. We don't take money from the previous year. We pass our surplus to the next service arm, just like meetings pass on their surplus funds to the other service arms.

Josefa: Can we decide today to add D64's request for funds?

Donna: D64 can make a request or a recommendation. That recommendation would be brought to the Board, and then bring it to August Committee meeting, then to the Assembly, if each body votes to move forward the issue.

Tarisa: Expenditures over \$500 need Board approval, over \$2,000 need Assembly approval.

Connie, D69: San Diego County AIS Office is in the red every month by \$900 because they moved to a new space and the rent is 4 times more than they paid before. She believes in helping the Area first, then "going up."

Jasmin, YHA: What is appropriate to ask for money from the Area for Groups, Districts, or Conventions?

Jayme: It is important to read in *Service Manual*, Warranty 1, and Guideline G-41: Reserve Fund Guideline.

Carol: What does our 7<sup>th</sup> Tradition say? That we need to be self-supporting. We have to adjust our expenses accordingly. The Area cannot be the savior for the AISs in the Area.

F) Observed 7<sup>th</sup> Tradition. Read from *Service Manual*, p. 19 and 107.

G) Alternate Delegate's Report:

- Sharing of Service (SOS) Report: It was a successful event. The attendance was not nearly as much as last year but nonetheless, HP accomplished what needed to be accomplished.
- Ask-It Basket (AIB) Questions: The AIB in the *Bulletin* is for questions about policies and procedures that you might have; we answer those by going to our literature. The Evaluation Forms for Area meetings are for any comments, concerns, or suggestions you might have about the Committee Meetings and Assemblies.

H) Delegate's Report:

- World Service Conference (WSC)--Love Gifts. It's really a pleasure to receive Love Gifts from our Area's members to distribute to Delegates from all over the country.
- How to Bring a Topic to the Assembly Agenda handout: received positive feedback that it is something tangible to take back to groups.
- Referenced "My Service Journey: Where am I headed" handout. We are discussing rotation of leadership. Where are you on your Service Journey? We'll be holding elections for new SCWS Officer at the upcoming November Assembly, and a new panel begins next year, in January of 2021. If you are or have been a DR, you can run for an officer position. Also look at Coordinator positions.

I) Old Business:

1. Area Alateen Resolution Committee Report: Updating the ASBR: adding a "Minors Statement". Bear reviewed policies, reworded to clarify language, consistency with Al-Anon principles, and reflecting current practices that are not in the old ASBRs, as well as some additional needs that have come up and need to be addressed. He also reviewed that the ASBR have to be reviewed by an attorney and the WSO before they can be finally voted on by the GRs at an Assembly.

Questions:

Jayme: Who were the members of the group that revised the ASBR?

Bear: All of the Area Alateen Coordinators (Suzanne P., Laura H., Jida P, Abby W., Bear), and Tarisa were the main group. We also consulted with the Delegate/Marcia and Chair/Donna.

Bruce: When are we presenting the ASBR to the Assembly?

Donna: All of the new policy issues have already been voted on by the Assembly. We're discussing adding a minors statement today, which would be the last policy issue. We are not planning to present it to the Assembly yet. You will decide that today.

Vicky, D72: Where are we in the process?

Bear: We are not done yet. The attorney and WSO have to review the ASBR. Once we incorporate any suggested changes, then we will bring it to the Assembly.

Suzanne: Where are we in the process of locating and obtaining an attorney?

Donna: An email went out to the DRs regarding our need for an attorney that any member might know of. We have received a couple of leads, but they haven't come to fruition yet. Please let her know if anyone knows of someone suitable.

Suzanne: How long do we sit in the holding pattern?

Donna: Until we are done. We need feedback from an attorney to be thorough. It's not stopping us from moving forward in many ways--only that we need an attorney to make it final.

"Minors Statement" handout: Bear read.

Questions:

Bruce: Are we going to have a formal KBDM process? For example, asking 5 KBDM questions before giving comments?

Bear and Donna: Look at the KBDM Quick Reference Tool to come up and ask questions. Today is the day to ask questions and make comments. Those will become a part of any future presentation.

Jasmin, YHA: Are we making this decision now?

Donna: We will decide whether or not to put the adoption of the minor statement in the ASBR on the Agenda for the May Assembly.

Sam, D36: Are you going to define the word “minor?”

Bear: In California, a minor is anyone under the age of 18.

Bruce: Question 3 of the KBDM questions: What are the cons?

Donna: That’s what we’re discussing today. The pros and cons. This is the KBDM process.

Nancy, D12: Concern: There is no age limit to AA or Al-Anon. How do you handle that at a convention and meetings?

Elaine, D75: Concerned about “Duty of Obedience.” How would it be enforced?

Suzanne: Referenced KBDM question #5: If we have minors participating, wouldn’t they be allowed at open meetings? Could we use the “other” option on the Registration process?

Jasmin, YHA: What is the need of the fellowship we are serving? Are we in contradiction of Tradition 5? What about emancipated minors? She’s fearful of turning people away who need solutions. Anyone of any age can come to Al-Anon meetings.

Donna: Reiterated that we are focusing the discussion on conventions, not meetings. At meetings, the meeting is responsible for minors. This is about events at which there is an Alateen Event plan.

Bruce: Doesn’t feel his question is being answered so he will answer his own question. He believes the cons and negatives answer is that: three-quarters of the statements in the presentation are inaccurate. SCWS doesn’t have authority over anything but itself. It is a service group; we have no control outside of this body. Referenced: Article 2, section 3 of the SCWS Bylaws.

Jayne: The presentation is a framing document. It’s hard to define differences between traditional or legal. There’s a fine line between the two. This issue is to protect the right to use Al-Anon and Alateen names whether traditional or legal. We haven’t been sued yet but have come close. It’s about protecting the name rather than policing it. She shared that there is a 9<sup>th</sup> grader who comes to her meeting with her mother and that this child will attend AFG with her mother.

Andy, D60: Did we talk to other Areas?

Donna: We spoke to staff members at WSO who have had a lot of contact with other areas. Who will be held responsible if anything happens to a child?

Andy D60: How have you contacted other Areas?

Marcia: Every Area has their own version of ASBR. The last version of our ASBR went to WSO. Only a few changes needed to be made. The overall document is ready. Do you think this one issue is ready to go on to the Assembly? This is the one policy piece that is left. She referenced an experience that Abby, our Area Alateen Member Coordinator, had at a convention recently

when teens who didn't want to attend as Alateens were in the parking lot, texting Alateens to leave the convention and go outside with them. The ASBRs are good, we just think we need this component about unaccompanied minors.

Comments:

Micheila, D27: Read from *Service Manual* (p. 15) under 12 Traditions and Paths to Recovery (p. 153) Tradition Two, to presume good will. *Service Manual* (p. 97), Events with Alateen Participation section.

Nancy, D12: She wants to remind everyone that this body's responsibility is to protect the Alateen name. She thinks this statement opens us up for more issues.

Willie, Past Delegate: We need to make sure we know what "SCWS of AFG, Inc." is. Our guidelines stop here.

Teresa, D30: This is just a risk management issue. From a legal standpoint it would be good to have a mitigation plan in place.

Bruce: It makes no sense to him to have a time limit [on discussions of issues].

Jasmin, YHA: Maybe we can bring the issue back in the future? Doesn't feel we have enough answers of how things are done in other Areas. Invited others to come to YHA planning meetings on the 4th Sunday of the month.

Kimberly, D34: KBDM question #4: I see this statement as a boundary. No one likes boundaries. Their sponsor or AMIAS can be their adult at an event.

Darcy, D63: Agrees with Marcia, sounds to him that it is an issue that needs to go to WSO so we can move forward to continue to have Alateen events in our area. Let's try to see this as it is and get something going for our Alateens.

Sandra, D3: KBDM question #1: Who are the unaccompanied minors? Minors who are members of AA? Teenagers interested in Alateen? Why would they not be able or required to sign the same Alateen requirements?

Bear: We do not have a system in place to know in advance who is going to attend an event. We do have forms we post on websites what needs to be signed by a parent. We have an idea of Alateens who have attended in the past. We need an AMIAS for every 10 Alateens. All of that is covered in the Alateen Event process.

Sandra, D3: What about minors who are not participating in the Alateen program?

Bear: That is beyond our scope. We have an Alateen Event process for actual Alateens. We don't have anything regarding other minors. That's what the minors statement addresses.

Laura, AAPP: Primary purpose is to have a space that is safe for Alateens. This statement doesn't protect any individual Alateen, any individual AMIAS who is chaperoning an event. Is against this statement being included.

Donna: reviewed: this was a framing document so that we could discuss using the KBDM process. She will write and post a question on AFG Connects to see how things are done in other areas. She suggested continuing looking at pros and cons, reviewing KBDM questions, and bring back to the August Committee meeting. Would you prefer to put on May Assembly or wait and discuss again at the August Committee meeting?

Nancy: asking a question for clarification: ASBRs are ready to go to an attorney. This will not stop the ASBRs? Nancy volunteered to find an attorney.

Donna: Yes, that is correct.

Bruce: As he understands this, it is a proposal to protect minors. Kill this now. He is against the ASBR.

Donna: There are a lot of questions and comments. We are discussing a vote: do you want to put this issue [the inclusion of the minors statement in the ASBR] in front of the May Assembly?

Questions about vote:

Bruce: What, if anything, will be presented at the Assembly, if this is voted down today?

Donna: Then there would just be a report of where we are at with the ASBR without the minors statement included or discussed.

Vicky, D72: Would it be on Registration forms for conventions?

Donna: Yes, the minors statement would be required for conventions with Alateen participation, if it goes before the Assembly and they vote for it.

Laura AAPP: The ASBR will be put to the Assembly eventually. Is there a way to bar the minors statement completely?

Donna: Should the statement go to the Assembly or not? If you don't believe it should, then vote no.

Tarisa: Wanted to make a clarification: whatever you decide today, the ASBRs will eventually go to the Assembly for approval, just without the Minor's Statement.

Bruce: asked if Tarisa agreed with that.

Tarisa: Yes.

Micheila, D27: KBDM Q#3: What would be the cons be if we don't have this statement?

Bear: It won't affect the ASBRs at all. He is not a voting member of the Assembly, so he is remaining neutral.

Bruce: It is interesting how we are getting different positions. It feels to him that the ASBRs are being held hostage.

Donna: We need a final review of the ASBR from a lawyer and then WSO. The Minors Statement is a single policy issue. You will decide today if you want to have the Assembly to vote on it.

Suzanne: Asked for clarification.

Donna: Restated that whether there's a Minors Statement or not, we need to get ASBR reviewed by an attorney, then WSO, and then the Assembly will vote on it. The vote now is on whether or not the Minors Statement presentation should be given at the May Assembly.

**Vote:** Minor's statement: Should the Minor's statement Presentation be given at the May Assembly? Yes: 54% No: 46% Abstentions: 0

Because we had not established what constituted "substantial unanimity," which is a 2/3 vote or 66%, we took the vote again: Yes: 49% (21) No: 51% (22) Abstentions: 0. The motion to give the Minors Statement presentation at the May Assembly failed.

Jayne: Reviewed the procedure followed at the World Service Conference: each member can only come to the microphone once. If someone wants to ask another question, they need to hold up the *Service Manual*. Maybe we can use that procedure here at Committee meetings.

2. Creating a Safe SCAC Task Force, Bear: They are currently revising policies. Unsure how long this will take. More to come soon.

3. Task Force Report, D64: Marcia: They have created and are distributing a survey. They want as many members and Groups as possible from D64 to answer the survey.

#### Questions:

Darcy, D63: Why is it so complicated to do a Survey Monkey?

Marcia: It was a very long and detailed process to put together a survey. Many D64 members do not have computer access. After consulting with a number of D64 members, they decided to create and distribute a paper copy of the survey, which can also be sent with emails as an attachment.

Linda, D40: There is some confusion of members who are part of her District but not D64.

Marcia: There are two sections of the survey. The first half is for people who are *in* D64 and the second half is for members who are *not* a part of D64. There are places to make comments.

4. Area Group and Member Concerns Resolution Team Update: There were some groups in 2016 that an AIS took them off directory. There was a resolution committee that were not in that AIS area that did a lot of investigation. They have a preliminary report. We need to coordinate this with WSO. Resolution team is not collecting any new information

5. Thought Forces:

a) Assembly: Micheila D27: Some information was collected in 2016. We wanted to find out how members feel today. We went through the KBDM questions to create our survey. Copy



provided to DRs. We would like feedback of what we have come up with so far. Most are multiple choice, and a few are open-ended for comments.

Donna: please contact Micheila. Micheila gave her email address.

b) Insurance for Groups: Elaine D75: Referenced "Brief Summary of Insurance Thought Force Report": They did a lot of research of what other areas are doing, contacted WSO, contacted Northern California, contacted AISs in our area who are already buying their own insurance. They sent out a survey to DRs. There are over 1,200 meetings in our area. They received 100 responses. From that survey, it was clear that many groups are being asked to provide liability insurance. Some insurance policies can only be purchased by tax-exempt entities. There are a number of possibilities being used by different entities in the area.

Do we want to suggest to the May Assembly that we create a Task Force to move to the "action" phase--that would recommend specific actions/solutions? Or should we stop with the Thought Force, and have 3 or 4 people who were on it be information sources for people in the Area?

Donna: The ThF found that there are many different solutions, and a wide price range of policies. There was another fellowship (not AA or AI-Anon) whose members were able to get insurance coverage for 30 meetings for \$600. (per year).

Elaine, D75: The need for insurance is happening more and more across the country.

Donna: The discussion today is: do we want to put on the May Assembly Agenda a recommendation that we create a Task Force? Or will we ask the ThF to create a report and then have 3-4 people to be the contact people (information givers) when members have questions about insurance for their groups?

Laura, AAPP: Are we talking about slips and falls?

Elaine, D75: There are lots of different situations. In California North there was one incident when a member broke a window at a church.

Larry, D8: He has seen this need in his district. If we have a Task Force, would they be looking at the broader view?

Elaine, D75: There is a sexual abuse/harassment clause which might help groups and Alateens. Do we ask District by District to provide insurance? Or each AIS Office? Should the Area just provide information (from the ThF members)?

Sandra, D3: Would Area pay this for all the groups? Can we do this because we are a nonprofit?

Elaine, D75: Some companies provide insurance to groups that are not nonprofits. The Area is a 501(c)(3) but not all AISs are. There are many different situations within our area. Cost and convenience are the issue.

Tarisa, Treasurer: Did you contact the AIS Offices to see if they were interested in doing this as well?

Elaine D75: Yes, San Diego is not interested in being involved. Didn't speak to LA County. Santa Barbara County doesn't have an AIS office. Right now, only Orange County and Ventura County AIS offices are providing this for their local meetings.

Donna: Northern California had to change their bylaws in order to buy the insurance for their Area. Our bylaws would also need to be changed. If there is a bylaw change, how would that define what we do next?

Elaine D75: She did speak to the man who wrote the bylaws in California North. There is currently one sentence in our bylaws that defines the Area's relationship with groups in a way that prevents the Area from purchasing insurance for the groups. If it was determined we need to change the bylaws, she has the verbiage that would be needed.

Eva: What is the common type of coverage that is being asked? What is the minimum coverage needed?

Elaine D75: I am not an insurance broker/agent. Most of the policies she saw contain a disclaimer that it would not pay out more than \$2 million. Each certificate must name the actual group.

#### Comments:

Jayne: There is less passion with this compared to the passion about the ASBRs. We have a certificate of liability at this church. A few years ago, we did have a slip and fall when she was Area Chair.

Kim, D24: Explained that her district decided to have a movie night but had difficulty finding a place. Every facility they approached required a certificate of insurance for a one-day event. She contacted Elaine on what to do and how to do it.

Carol, Archives.: Interested in preservation of fellowship. She recommends forming a Task Force.

Laura, AAPP: Last October, she attended the 3-day Assembly in California North. She noted how different it was from our Assembly. There is an area-wide Insurance Coordinator there for consistency and continuity. She suggested that we do that here.

Larry, D8: The issue keeps coming up in Santa Barbara. He recommends we have a Task Force.

Bruce, Bulletin: He views this as less controversial. He recommends we have a Task Force. There is a danger when lay people address legal issues in regard to changing bylaws. We are here to provide a service, not a responsibility.

Elaine, D75: The insurance underwriter she spoke with rejected the possibility of providing insurance because of the one sentence in our bylaws. One line would need to be changed in order to consider providing insurance for all of the meetings. Once the bylaws are changed, we could proceed with getting a quote.

Renee, D17: Shared about a home meeting she goes to and how both meetings share the cost of \$240 a year. Another group has approached them. One group keeps moving. She sees the need for a Task Force.

Willie: point of clarification: Do we create the Task Force?

Jayne: No, because some members might not want to do a Task Force?

Jasmin, YHA: What are we doing? And what are the choices?

Donna: We are voting to see if the Insurance for Groups Thought Force report should be given at the Assembly. Since this is a procedural matter, it only needs a simple majority to pass.

**Vote:** Should the Insurance for Groups ThF report be given to the May Assembly?

Yes: 92% 36 No: 8% 3 Abstentions: 0

12:00 Lunch Break

1:00pm Reconvene:

Concepts Read by Kim, D24

J) New Business

1. Election Procedures: Marcia referenced beige handout "SCWS Area Elections-Delegate 2020" as well as green form "Election Procedures". We need to decide if we are ready to move this to the Assembly. November 2017, we had an election and these procedures were used. She read through the entire beige handout "SCWS Area Elections-Delegate 2020". Decision today is whether or not to present to the Assembly the proposal to change our Area's voting procedures for Delegate? If so, the Assembly would be voting to:

- A. Keep the current voting procedures for Delegate ("by lot")
- B. Change the final vote to simple majority
- C. Have the GRs attending each Election Assembly make the decision for "A" or "B" every three years.

Kim D24: If we change the bulleted sentence, does it change the *Service Manual*, p. 136-137

Marcia: We are just reviewing what is outlined in our *Service Manual*.

Questions: no questions

Comments:

Bruce: this was an excellent KBDM presentation. Thank you

Tarisa: The GRs vote on the day of election. The presentation will take a lot of time because of comments and questions. Thinks this needs to be presented at the May Assembly so it is in effect for November.

Micheila, D27: Voting the day of the election could make it more likely that people would vote based on personalities above principles.

Nancy D12: A Past Delegate shared with her that if no candidate receives 3/4s vote, we let our HP in by pulling names from the hat. Going to a majority vote instead, doesn't allow our HP a part.

Carol: Fulfilling the same purpose as house and senate in the US government. This is a spiritual fellowship and that we are guided by HP. The HP lives in that hat on election day.

Willie: When we vote on this, are we going to vote on how it is presented to the Assembly? He is concerned about Item C.

Marcia: If the ASWC is unclear on how to proceed with this item, let's give the voting GRs the benefit of the doubt and give this presentation at the May Assembly for the GRs to decide.

Jayme: She is still feeling confused. Are we making the decision to take this to Assembly?

Donna: Yes, we need to vote whether or not to take it to Assembly--for them to decide on changing our election procedures.

Jayme: It is a very spiritual experience. When we vote on anything of importance, we need substantial unanimity. She is for "by lot."

Judy, Literature Coordinator: Do we have to take this to the Assembly? Can we as a Committee decide this?

Donna: We decide if this recommendation is ready to go to the Assembly to vote on it.

Bruce: He likes giving the Assembly options. He likes the status quo. He thinks Assembly should make that decision, not us.

Donna: Thinking that perhaps we should table this until the next Committee meeting because of time constraints today.

Jayme: The election procedures were updated recently. Those procedures are that on the 4<sup>th</sup> round, if no candidate gets ¾ vote, it goes to the hat.

Connie, D69: She would like to keep it simple by looking at the *Service Manual*.

Kathi, Past Delegate: the *Manual* gives an option. Our area has autonomy as to which way we want it to be done. Now we give this information and let the Assembly decide.

Bruce: Asked for clarification, why are we doing this?

Donna: The question of changing the election procedures came through a District, was discussed at the Board meeting, and we voted to bring it to the Committee meeting. You get to decide whether to add it to the Assembly agenda or not.

**Vote:** Should the Election Procedure Issue be added to the May Assembly?

It's a procedural issue so we need 51%.

Yes: 45% (20 votes); No: 52% (23 votes); Abstentions 2% (1 vote). The proposal to change the Election Procedures will not be put on the Agenda for the May Assembly.

2. New Board for SCWS--elections in November of this year.  
Donna: please think about service positions you might want to fill on our Board.
  3. Area-Recognized Conventions Agreement -- tabled until next Committee Meeting.
- K) Shauna, Hospitality Coordinator. Report: Thanked everyone for snacks they brought today. Host Districts for May Assembly: 3, 24, 42, 54, 57, 60, 64, 69
- L) DR Reports: Please refer to *Bulletin*
- M) Coordinator Reports: Please refer to *Bulletin*
1. AIS-LDC Coordinator
  2. Archives
  3. Area Alateen Communications Coordinator
  4. Area Alateen Events Coordinator
  5. Area Alateen Member Coordinator
  6. Area Alateen Process Person
  7. Area Alateen Sponsor Coordinator
  8. Bulletin
  9. Cooperating with Professional Community
  10. Group Records Coordinator
  11. Institutions
  12. Literature
  13. Public Information
  14. Spanish Coordinator
  15. Website
- N) Convention Chairpersons

Meeting adjourned at 3:55 p.m.: Al-Anon Declaration